

1 Lecture

Definition 1. A countable group G is said to be homogeneous if for every two tuples $g_1, \dots, g_k, h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ s.t. $\text{tp}^G(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \text{tp}^G(h_1, \dots, h_k)$ there is an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ s.t. $\sigma(g_i) = h_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The opposite direction is true. Let $g_1, \dots, g_k, h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ be tuples and let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ be an automorphism s.t. $\varphi(g_i) = h_i$ for all i then $\text{tp}^G(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \text{tp}^G(h_1, \dots, h_k)$. We notice that $g \sim h \iff \text{tp}(g) = \text{tp}(h)$ is an equivalence relation. In the general case the orbits of the automorphism group are a subpartition of the partition induced by the relation of being in the same type. If G is homogeneous then these partition coincide.

Proposition 2. Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ be a finite set with $k \geq 2$. Let $g_1, \dots, g_n, h_1, \dots, h_n \in F_S$ s.t. $\text{tp}^{F_S}(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \text{tp}^{F_S}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ there is an homomorphism $\nu : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ s.t. $\nu(g_i) = h_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. For every $g \in F_S$ we construct the term $w_g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ by taking the letters $s_1, \dots, s_k \in F_S$ and replacing them by variables x_1, \dots, x_k . For example let $g = s_1 s_2 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1} \in F_S$ so $w_g(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}$ and $w_g(s_1, s_2) = g$. Let

$$\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \exists x_1, \dots, x_k \bigwedge_{i=1}^n w_{g_i}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = z_i$$

clearly $F_S \models \varphi(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ because for s_1, \dots, s_k we have $w_{g_i}(s_1, \dots, s_k) = g_i$. Because g_1, \dots, g_n and h_1, \dots, h_n are of the same type $F_S \models \varphi(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ meaning there are $t_1, \dots, t_k \in F_S$ s.t. $w_{g_i}(t_1, \dots, t_k) = h_i$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : F_S &\rightarrow F_S \\ s_i &\mapsto t_i \end{aligned}$$

and we get $\nu(g_i) = h_i$. □

Definition 3. For every $g \in F_S$ we define

$$\varphi'_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_k) = (w_g(x_1, \dots, x_k) = z)$$

Remark 4. We notice that it is enough to discuss existence of an homomorphism for a 1 tuple we can achieve the general case by conjunction of formulas of the form $\varphi'_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_k)$.

Let $g, h \in F_S$ with $\text{tp}^{F_S}(g) = \text{tp}^{F_S}(h)$.

Idea. Naively we want to construct a formula $\psi^k(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ s.t. for all $t_1, \dots, t_k \in F_S$ we will have

$$F_S \models \psi(t_1, \dots, t_k) \iff t_1, \dots, t_k \text{ are the images of } s_1, \dots, s_k \text{ under an automorphism}$$

Then we will have $F_S \models \varphi'_g(g, s_1, \dots, s_k) \wedge \psi(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and for every $t_1, \dots, t_k \in F_S$ s.t. $F_S \models \varphi'_g(h, t_1, \dots, t_k) \wedge \psi(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ the map σ sending $s_i \mapsto t_i$ is an automorphism with $\sigma(g) = h$.

Problem. The formula $\exists x_1, \dots, x_k \forall z \psi^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \wedge \neg \psi^k(x_1, \dots, x_k, z)$ would contradict Sela's Theorem that all non abelian free groups have the same first order theory.

But Sela has another theorem that might come in handy

Theorem 5. *Free groups have "relative co-Hopf property". Let $g \in F_S$ be an element not contained in any proper free factor and let $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ be an injective homomorphism s.t. $\sigma(g) = g$. Then σ is also surjective.*

Idea. Construct a formula $\psi^k(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ s.t. for all $t_1, \dots, t_k \in F_S$ we will have

$$F_S \models \psi(t_1, \dots, t_k) \iff t_1, \dots, t_k \text{ are the images of } s_1, \dots, s_k \text{ under an inj. homomorphism}$$

Then we will get an inj. homomorphism $\sigma(g) = h$. Symmetrically we can get an inj. homomorphism $\tau(h) = g$. From the relative co Hopf property $\tau \circ \sigma$ is an automorphism so also σ is an automorphism.

This idea works for $k = 2$. This proof that F_2 is homogeneous is due to Nies.

Proposition 6. *Let $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ be a homomorphism let $K = \langle s_1, \dots, s_l \rangle$ be a free factor. Then $\sigma|_K$ is injective iff $\langle \sigma(s_1), \dots, \sigma(s_l) \rangle$ is a subgroup of rank l .*

Proof. Nielsen-Schreier + Hopf property. □

Proposition 7. *Let $t_1, t_2 \in F_S$ then $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ is of rank 2 iff $[t_1, t_2] \neq 1$*

Proof. $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ is of rank less than 2 iff $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ is abelian (Nielsen-Schreier) □

So the formula ψ^2 is

$$\psi^2(x_1, x_2) = ([x_1, x_2] \neq 1)$$

Fact 8. *Let $J \leq F_S$ be a free factor of F_S and let $K \leq F_S$ be a subgroup. Then the subgroup $J \cap K$ is a free factor of K .*

Claim 9. Let F_{S_1} and F_{S_2} be free groups on the sets S_1, S_2 let $g \in F_{S_1}, h \in F_{S_2}$ be elements and $\nu : F_{S_2} \rightarrow F_{S_1}$ and injective homomorphism s.t. $\nu(h) = g$. If F_{S_1} has a free factor J_1 s.t. $\text{rank} J_1 = 1$ and $g \in J_1$ then F_{S_2} has a free factor J_2 with $\text{rank} J_2 = 1$ and $h \in J_2$.

Proof. Let $K \leq F_{S_1}$ be the group $K = \text{Im} \nu$. Because of fact 8 the subgroup $J_1 \cap K$ is a free factor of K . We also know that $J_1 \cap K \leq J_1$ and a subgroup of free group of rank 1 is a free group of rank 1. Then $J_2 = \nu^{-1}(J_1 \cap K)$ satisfies the requirements. We notice that $\nu|_{J_2} : J_2 \rightarrow J_1 \cap K$ is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 10. Let S be a set $|S| = 2$ and let $g, h \in F_S$ be elements s.t. $tp^{F_2}(g) = tp^{F_2}(h)$ then there is an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(F_S)$ with $\sigma(g) = h$

Proof. The formula $\exists x_1 x_2 \varphi_g(z, x_1, x_2) \wedge [x_1, x_2] \neq 1$ gives us an injective homomorphism $\nu_1 : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ with $\nu_1(g) = h$ the formula $\exists x_1 x_2 \varphi_h(z, x_1, x_2) \wedge [x_1, x_2] \neq 1$ gives us an injective homomorphism $\nu_2 : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ s.t. $\nu_2(h) = g$. If F_S is indecomposable over g then $\nu_2 \circ \nu_1$ is an automorphism because of the relative co-Hopf property. If F_S isn't indecomposable over g then from Claim 9 there are free factors $J_1, J_2 \leq F_S$ s.t. $\text{rank}(J_1), \text{rank}(J_2) = 1$, $g \in J_1, h \in J_2$ and $\nu_2(J_2) = J_1$. Then we can extend $\nu_2|_{J_2} : J_2 \rightarrow J_1$ to an automorphism $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ with $\sigma|_{J_2} = \nu_2|_{J_2}$ and $\sigma(h) = g$. \square

Problem. There can't be appropriate formulas ψ^k for $k > 2$ because the sentence $\exists x_1, x_2 \forall x_3, \dots, x_k \psi^2(x_1, x_2) \wedge \neg \psi^k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ would contradict Sela.

Fact 11. Let $H < F_S$ be a subgroup. Then H has infinite index iff there exists a subgroup $H < K < F_S$ of infinite index s.t. H is a free factor of K .

So the sentence $\exists x_1, x_2 \forall x_3, \dots, x_k \psi^2(x_1, x_2) \wedge \neg \psi^k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ can be interpreted as meaning F_S has a finite index subgroup of rank 2. The Nielsen Schreier formula for the rank of a finite index subgroup states $r = 1 + i(k - 1)$ with i being the index of the subgroup. The formula can hold only if $k = 2$ and $i = 1$. Meaning the only possible case of a finite index subgroup of rank 2 is $F_2 \leq F_2$.

Theorem 12. Let $g, h \in F_S$ s.t. g is not contained in a proper free factor of F_S . There are a finite set of proper quotients $\eta_i : F_S \rightarrow Q_i$ s.t. for every non injective homomorphism $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ s.t. $\sigma(g) = h$ there exists an automorphism $\tau \in \text{Mod}_{\langle g \rangle}(F_S)$ s.t. $\sigma \circ \tau$ factors through one of the quotient.

Idea. Define a relation $\zeta(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k)$ s.t. for all $t_1, \dots, t_k, t'_1, \dots, t'_k \in F_S$

$$F_S \models \zeta(t_1, \dots, t_k, t'_1, \dots, t'_k)$$

\Downarrow

There exists $\tau \in \text{Mod}_{\langle g \rangle}(F_S)$ and $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ s.t. $\sigma(g) = h$

and $\sigma(s_i) = t_i$ and $\sigma \circ \tau(s_i) = \tau(t'_i)$

So again this is impossible but, finally, we can construct a formula ζ s.t

$$F_S \models \zeta(t_1, \dots, t_k, t'_1, \dots, t'_k)$$

\Uparrow

There exists $\tau \in \text{Mod}_{\langle g \rangle}(F_S)$ and $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ s.t. $\sigma(g) = h$

and $\sigma(s_i) = t_i$ and $\sigma \circ \tau(s_i) = \tau(t'_i)$

Let $u_i \in F_S$ be words s.t. $u_i \in \ker(\eta_i)$. We construct

$$\psi_{g,h}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \forall y_1, \dots, y_k \zeta(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k) \rightarrow \bigwedge_i \neg \varphi'_{u_i}(1, y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

Let σ be an homomorphism and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in F_S$ s.t. $\sigma(s_i) = t_i$. If $F_S \models \psi_{g,h}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ we can conclude σ is injective because of Theorem 12.

We are left with two assignments

1. Construct ζ .
2. Prove that $F_S \models \varphi'_g(g, s_1, \dots, s_k) \wedge \psi_{g,h}(s_1, \dots, s_k)$

We won't prove 1. There is a JSJ decomposition of F_S relative to $\langle g \rangle < F_S$. We analyze the way in which Dehn twists act on the vertex groups of the JSJ decomposition. For regular vertex groups the Dehn twist conjugate by an element of F_S . Some of the amalgamation products come from splitting a surface along a simple curve. In this case the Dehn twist act as an automorphism of the surface group. If the amalgamation product doesn't come from splitting a surface the Dehn twist conjugates the surface group. Let $\tau \in \text{Mod}_{\langle g \rangle}(F_S)$ be a modular automorphism and $K \leq F_S$ is regular vertex subgroup then there is a $f \in F_S$ s.t. $\tau(k) = f k f^{-1}$ for every $k \in K$. Let $H \leq F_S$ be a surface vertex subgroup then $\tau(H) = f H f^{-1}$ (f is different) but it doesn't necessarily conjugate every single elements. Let $\sigma : F_S \rightarrow F_S$ be a homomorphism then for $k \in K$ we have $\sigma \circ \tau(k) = \sigma(f k f^{-1}) = \sigma(f) \sigma(k) \sigma(f)^{-1}$. For H we have that subgroup $\sigma \circ \tau(H)$ is conjugate to the subgroup $\sigma(H)$. So $\sigma(H)$ is abelian iff $\sigma \circ \tau(H)$ is abelian. Each vertex in the JSJ decomposition gives us a set of generators. The edges of

the JSJ decomposition give us relations between the generators. Now we can write $F_S \cong \langle a_1, \dots, a_k | r_1, \dots, r_j \rangle$. The relation can be expressed as a set of equations $\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^j \varphi'_{r_i}(1, x_1, \dots, x_k)$. We construct the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{RVertex}(x_1, \dots, x_l, y_1, \dots, y_l) &= \exists z \bigwedge_i z x_i z^{-1} = y_i \\ \zeta_{SVertex}(x_1, \dots, x_l, y_1, \dots, y_l) &= \left(\bigwedge_{i,j=1}^l [x_i, x_j] = 1 \right) \longleftrightarrow \left(\bigwedge_{i,j=1}^l [y_i, y_j] = 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let a_1, \dots, a_l a subset of generators from a regular vertex group and let $t_1, \dots, t_l, t'_1, \dots, t'_l \in F_S$ be elements s.t. $\sigma(a_i) = t_i$ and $\sigma \circ \tau(a_i) = t'_i$. Then $F_S \models \zeta_{RVertex}(t_1, \dots, t_l, t'_1, \dots, t'_l)$. Let b_1, \dots, b_l be a subset of generators from a surface group and let $t_1, \dots, t_l, t'_1, \dots, t'_l \in F_S$ be elements s.t. $\sigma(b_i) = t_i$ and $\sigma \circ \tau(b_i) = t'_i$ then $F_S \models \zeta_{SVertex}(t_1, \dots, t_l, t'_1, \dots, t'_l)$. For each vertex on the JSJ decomposition we construct one of these two formulas so

$$\zeta(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k) = \bigwedge_i \zeta_{RVertex}(x_1, \dots, x_{l_i}, y_1, \dots, y_{l_i}) \bigwedge_i \zeta_{SVertex}(x_1, \dots, x_{l_i}, y_1, \dots, y_{l_i})$$

To put it all together. We write g as a word w_g in the generators from the JSJ decomposition so the final formula is $\varphi'_g(z, x_1, \dots, x_l) \wedge \Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_l) \wedge \psi_{gh}(x_1, \dots, x_l)$.