

# Lecture 4 - JSJs and Makanin Razborov diagram

Chloe

November 7, 2018

Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_n$  denote the free group on  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

## 1 Equations in the free group

Simplest example of first order formula in the language of groups: equation. Ex: eqn in two variables  $x, y$  is of the form  $w(x, y) = 1$  where  $w$  is a (reduced) word on  $x, y$  (and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  if we have parameters). The corresponding definable set is the subset of  $G \times G$  consisting of all pairs  $(g, h)$  such that  $w(g, h) = 1$ .

**Example 1.1:**  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = 1$   
 $x^3 = a_1a_2$

Suppose  $\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 1$  is a system of equations in  $k$  variables.

**Definition 1.2:** Denote  $V_\Sigma$  the set of solutions to  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ . The group  $G_\Sigma$  given by the presentation  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \mid \Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rangle$  is called the coordinate group of  $V_\Sigma$ .

Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between points in  $V_\Sigma$  and homomorphisms  $G_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ .

The following proposition will help us analyze the set of morphisms  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , and thus to understand sets defined by equations:

**Proposition 1.3:** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group, which is freely indecomposable.

Then there is a finite set of proper quotients  $\eta_1 : G \rightarrow L_1, \dots, \eta_r : G \rightarrow L_r$  such that any homomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  factors through one of the  $\eta_i$ 's **after precomposition by an element of  $\text{Aut}(G)$** , i.e for some  $i$  there exists  $h' : L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that  $h \circ \sigma = h' \circ \eta_i$ .

We also have a relative version of the same result

**Proposition 1.4:** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group. Let  $A \leq G$  be such that  $G$  is freely indecomposable with respect to  $A$ . Let  $h_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a fixed morphism.

Then there is a finite set of proper quotients  $\eta_1 : G \rightarrow L_1, \dots, \eta_r : G \rightarrow L_r$  such that any homomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  **whose restriction to  $A$  is exactly  $h_A$**  factors through one of the  $\eta_i$ 's **after precomposition by an element of  $\text{Aut}_A(G)$** , i.e for some  $i$  there exists  $h' : L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that  $h \circ \sigma = h' \circ \eta_i$ .

This enables us to prove:

**Theorem 1.5:** (Sela) Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group. There is a finite tree of groups rooted at  $G$ , such that

1. each pair  $L, L'$  where  $L'$  is a child of  $L$  comes with a quotient map  $\eta : L \rightarrow L'$ , which is proper except possibly if  $L = G$ ;
2. the leaves are free group;
3. for any morphism  $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , there is a branch  $G \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_s \rightarrow F$  and automorphisms  $\sigma_i$  of the  $L_i$ , and an injective map  $j : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$h = j \circ \sigma_s \circ \eta_{s-1} \circ \dots \circ \eta_1 \circ \eta_0$$

Idea of the proof: for each  $L$  appearing, decompose  $L$  into free factors  $L = L^1 * L^2 * F$  where  $L^1, L^2$  not free and  $F$  is free. Apply Proposition above to  $L^1, L^2$  to get quotients  $\eta_1 : L^1 \rightarrow R_1, \dots, \eta_r : L^1 \rightarrow R_r$  and  $\mu_1 : L^2 \rightarrow Q_1, \dots, \mu_q : L^2 \rightarrow Q_q$  - then define the children of  $L$  to be the quotients  $R_i * Q_j * F$  of  $L$ , with quotient maps  $\eta_i * \mu_j * \text{Id}_F$ .

**Definition 1.6:** *This tree is called the Makanin-Razborov diagram.*

But in fact we know a little bit more about the groups appearing (they are all limit groups) and the automorphisms  $\sigma_i$  used - if  $L_i = L_i^1 * \dots * L_i^t$  then  $\sigma_i |_{L_i^k}$  is a **modular** automorphism.

## 2 The modular group

**Definition 2.1:** *Amalgamated products, HNN extensions. Corresponding Dehn twists. Relative version.*

We can generalize the notion of amalgamated products and HNN extension to that of a graph of groups.

**Definition 2.2:** *A graph of group  $\mathcal{G}$  consists of a graph  $\Gamma$  together with the following data:*

1. for each vertex  $v$ , a vertex group  $G_v$ ;
2. for each edge  $e$ , an edge group  $G_e$  and embeddings  $i_0 : G_e \rightarrow G_{o(e)}$  and  $i_1 : G_e \rightarrow G_{t(e)}$  (so that  $G_{\bar{e}} \simeq G_e$  and the embeddings are swapped).

The **fundamental group**  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  of a graph of group  $\mathcal{G}$  is the group generated by the vertex groups together with a set  $\{t_e \mid e \in E(\Gamma)\}$  and the relations:

- $t_{\bar{e}} = t_e^{-1}$  for all edges  $e$
- $i_0(g) = t_e i_1(g) t_e^{-1}$  for all edges  $e$ ;
- $t_e = 1$  for all edges  $e \in E(\Gamma_0)$ ;

where  $\Gamma_0$  is a maximal subtree of  $\Gamma$ . It is possible to show that the isomorphism class of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  does not depend on the choice of  $\Gamma_0$ , and that the groups  $G_v$  and  $G_e$  embed in  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  (Bass-Serre theory).

Note that if  $G = \pi_1(\Gamma)$ , can recover from each edge of  $\Gamma$  a splitting of  $G$  as an HNN or an amalgamated product.

Question: is there a graph of group from which one can recover all the splittings of  $G$  in this way? Or say, all splittings for which edge groups are cyclic groups? abelian groups?

**Remark 2.3:** *Splittings are not always compatible! (surface group example)*

Under some circumstances however, this is the only obstruction, i.e. if there are some splittings which are not compatible, there is a surface somewhere.

We will show this is the case when  $G$  is a torsion free hyperbolic group (for example the free group) which is freely indecomposable (relative to a subgroup  $A$ ).

## 3 JSJ decompositions and modular automorphisms in the case of a torsion free hyperbolic group

**Definition 3.1:** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph of groups. We say that  $v$  is a **surface type vertex** in  $\mathcal{G}$  if  $G_v$  is the fundamental group of a surface with boundary of characteristic at least  $-2$  or a punctured torus, and the map  $e \rightarrow [i_1^e(G_e)]$  induces a bijection between the set of edges adjacent to  $v$  and the set of conjugacy classes of boundary subgroups in  $G_v$ .*

*In other words,  $G_v$  admits a presentation of the form*

$$\langle b_1, \dots, b_r, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g, (z) \mid b_1 \dots b_r [x_1, y_1] \dots [x_g, y_g] (z^2) = 1 \rangle$$

and the edges adjacent to  $v$  are  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  with  $G_{e_j} = \langle \beta_j \rangle$  and  $i_1^{e_j}(\beta_j) = b_j$ .

We have:

**Theorem 3.2:** *Let  $G$  be a torsion free hyperbolic group (for example a free group) which is freely indecomposable (with respect to a subgroup  $A$ ). There exists a graph of groups decomposition  $\mathcal{G}$  for  $G$  with infinite cyclic edge groups and a set  $V_S$  of vertices of surface type such that any splitting of  $G$  as an amalgamated product or an HNN extension over an infinite cyclic group can be obtained from  $\Gamma$  by*

1. possibly refining a surface type vertex group by a splitting induced by a simple closed curve;
2. collapsing all the edges but one.

In that case we can also give an easy description of the "modular group" that appears in the precise version of Theorem 1.5.

**Definition 3.3:** *In the case of a torsion free hyperbolic group  $G$  which is freely indecomposable (relative to a subgroup  $A$ ), the modular group is defined to be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_A(G)$  generated by all the Dehn twists corresponding to all possible splittings of  $G$  as an HNN or an amalgamated product over an infinite cyclic group.*

Because the JSJ encodes all such possible splittings (in this case) then we have the following:

**Corollary 3.4:** *Modular automorphisms restrict to conjugation on non surface and non abelian type vertex groups of the JSJ decomposition, and send surface type vertex groups isomorphically on conjugates of themselves.*

Another key result obtained by Rips and Sela

**Theorem 3.5:** *If  $G$  is a torsion free hyperbolic group which is freely indecomposable (relative to a subgroup  $A$ ), the modular group  $\text{Mod}_A(G)$  has finite index in the full group of automorphisms  $\text{Aut}_A(G)$ .*