# LECTURE 2 INTRODUCTION TO STABLE GROUPS

ISABEL

### 1. Some Model Theory

**Recall (Sela):** All non-abelian free groups have the same first order theory, which is stable.

**Definition 1.1** (Stability). no order property

**Remark 1.2.** notion by Shelah, classification of first oder theories into rather tame ones (we have independence, forking, algebraic closure, "few types") and wild ones.

1.1. In the Exercise session:

**Definition 1.3.** type

**Examples 1.4.** do it well, types will be very important!! also cover non-realized types.

**Definition 1.5.** saturated models

**Remark 1.6.** We don't know the saturated model of the free group!

**Fact 1.7.** If there is an automorphism, things have the same type. Converse in saturated models.

1.2. In the Lecture Class:

**Definition 1.8.** FORKING

**Remark 1.9.** Intuition: a forks with B over C, if BC knows a much better, then just C alone. Draw picture for 2-inconsistent (any formula containing a over C. Then forking formula is inside it, by 2-inconsistency we get many infinite disjoint htings, all inside that set as the same type over C).

**Example 1.10.** algebraic types don't fork. One forking type. Plus some pictures: are they witnessing forking? (semi-disjoint sets)

**Fact 1.11.** If T is stable, then the forking independence satisfies the following properties: ...

Definition 1.12. stable, superstable, omega stable. (via types).

**Fact 1.13.** If T is superstable, there are no infinite forking chains. There is a rank, Shelah rank. omega stable has morley rank.

#### ISABEL

#### 2. Stable Groups

**Definition 2.1.** If T is some first order theory and G some group **definable** in T. We say that G is a **stable** (resp. **superstable**,  $\omega$ -**stable**) **group**, if the theory T is stable (resp. superstable,  $\omega$ -stable).

**Convention 2.2.** When we talk about the theory of the free group  $\mathbb{F}$ , we consider  $\mathbb{F}$  as a **pure group**, i.e. in the language of groups  $L = \{\cdot, ^{-1}\}$ .

**Exercise 2.3.** (1) Assume M is an infinite structure in the language of groups, in which the operation  $\cdot$  is associative and admits left and right cancellation. Show that, if M is stable, then M already is a group.

**HINT:** Use that no infinite set can be ordered by a first order formula. Consider the formula  $\varphi(x, y) := \exists z (x \cdot z = y)$  together with the sequence  $(a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(2) Let  $A \subseteq G$  be a definable subset of some stable group G. Show that for any  $g \in G$  we have

gA = A if and only if  $gA \subseteq A$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (Baldwin-Saxl Condition). Every intersection of uniformly definable subgroups is finite and hence definable

**Exercise 2.5.** Centralizers of sets (not necessarily definable) are definable subgroups in stable groups.

**Remark 2.6.** Chain conditions in superstable (no infinite definable chain with infinite index) and omega-stable (no infinite definable chain of subgroups what so ever)

### 3. Generics

From now on, we work inside some stable group G.

**Definition 3.1.** A definable set  $A \subseteq G$  is called **left generic**, if finitely many (left-)translates cover the whole group G. Similarly for **right generic**. It is called **bilateral generic**, if finitely many translates of the form gAh cover G.

**Exercise 3.2.** If some set  $A \subseteq G$  is left generic, then its inverse set  $A^{-1}$  is right generic.

**Lemma 3.3.** For any definable set A, either A is left generic, or its complement  $G \setminus A$  is right generic.

**Lemma 3.4.** A definable set A is left generic if and only if it is right generic, if and only if it is bilateral generic. We thus call such a set just generic.

**Definition 3.5.** generic type, generic element, generic over some set A.

**Corollary 3.6.** Generic types exist. More precisely: Any partial generic type over some parameter set A can be extended to a generic type over A.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let g be generic and algebraic over some element h. Then h also is generic.

**Definition 3.8.**  $\varphi$ -connected component, connected component.

**Fact 3.9.** • In stable,  $G^0(\varphi)$  is definable of finite index, hence generic.

- In omega-stable, connected component definable of finite index, hence generic.
- $G^0$  is a characteristic subgroup, i.e. invariant under automorphisms. In particular,  $G^0$  is normal in G.

Maybe exercise: type generic iff stabilizer is  $G^0$ .

## 4. Forking in Stable Groups

**Lemma 4.1.** If the type tp(a/B) is generic, for some finite tuple a and an arbitrary subset B of G, then  $a \perp B$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** If a and b are generic elements, independent over some set C, then so are a and ab.

**Exercise 4.3.** In a  $\omega$ -saturated stable group, any element is product of two generics.