

# Lecture 11 - Forking in the free group - Part 2

Chloe

January 3, 2019

The aim of the lecture is to describe the forking independence relation in a free group  $\mathbb{F}$  over a parameter set  $A$  relative to which  $\mathbb{F}$  is freely indecomposable. The result is given in terms of the relative cyclic JSJ decomposition  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{F}$  relative to  $A$ , and of the minimal subgraphs of the subgroups  $\langle A, b \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$  and  $\langle A, c \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$  in  $\Lambda$  so we start by giving

**Definition 0.1:** *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H$  be a finitely generated subgroup. Let  $\Lambda$  be a graph of groups decomposition for  $G$ , denote by  $T$  the corresponding tree (given by Bass-Serre theory) on which  $G$  acts and by  $p : T \rightarrow \Lambda$  the quotient map. The minimal subgraph  $\Lambda_H^{min}$  of  $H$  in  $\Lambda$  is  $p(T_H^{min})$ , where  $T_H^{min}$  is the minimal subtree of  $T$  invariant by  $H$ .*

More concretely (but less precisely): if we choose a spanning tree for  $\Lambda$  we get a presentation for  $G$  whose generators are elements of the vertex groups and Bass-Serre elements corresponding to edges outside the spanning tree. To each element  $g \in G$  one can associate a minimal closed path. To do so, write  $g$  as a product in the generators (with usual rules about not belonging to edge groups if it can be avoided), and draw the path described by the product as follows:

1. if the product starts by  $u$ , start at the vertex to whose group  $u$  belongs or start at the beginning of the edge corresponding to the Bass-Serre element  $u$  and cross that edge;
2. at each new generator  $u$  appearing in the product either (i) go via the spanning tree to the vertex whose group contains  $u$  or (ii) go via the spanning tree to the start of the edge corresponding to the Bass-Serre element  $u$  and cross said edge;
3. at the end of the product return to starting point via the spanning tree.

The minimal subgraph  $\Lambda_H^{min}$  is the union of all the cycles associated to elements of  $H$ .

**Theorem 0.2:** *Let  $b, c$  be tuples in  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  be such that  $\mathbb{F}$  is freely indecomposable relative to  $A$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the cyclic JSJ decomposition of  $\mathbb{F}$  relative to  $A$ , and denote by  $\Lambda_{Ab}^{min}$  and  $\Lambda_{Ac}^{min}$  the minimal subgraphs of the subgroups  $\langle A, b \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$  and  $\langle A, c \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$  in  $\Lambda$ .*

*Then  $b$  and  $c$  are independent over  $A$  if and only if  $\Lambda_{Ab}^{min}$  and  $\Lambda_{Ac}^{min}$  intersect at most in a disjoint union of (envelopes of) rigid vertices.*

Recall def of minimal subgraph.

**Example 0.3:** 1. Two edges adjacent in  $A$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  in extremities.

2.  $Y$  graph with non  $Z$  vertex in the middle.
3. Bigon with rigid, conjugate elements.
4. Bigon with surface, non conjugate elements.

## 1 Some reminders

**Definition 1.1:**  $b, c \in M$  and  $A \subseteq M$ . Say  $b$  and  $c$  **fork over**  $A$  if there exists

- a set  $X$  definable over  $Ac$  containing  $b$ ;

- a sequence  $\theta_n \in \text{Aut}_A(\hat{M})$  (where  $\hat{M}$  is some elementary extension of  $M$ );
- an integer  $k$

such that the sets  $\theta_n(X)$  are  $k$ -wise disjoint.

Note that any set  $X$  which is definable over  $Ac$  and contains  $b$  will contain  $\text{Aut}_{Ac}(M) \cdot b$  (the orbit of  $b$  under  $\text{Aut}_{Ac}(M)$ ).

**Theorem 1.2:** *Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  be such that  $\mathbb{F}$  is freely indecomposable relative to  $A$ . Then the orbit of any tuple  $g \in \mathbb{F}$  under  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$  is definable over  $A$ .*

## 2 Modular groups and minimal subgraphs

Let  $G$  be a torsion free hyperbolic group, and  $A$  a non abelian (for simplicity) subgroup not contained in any proper free factor of  $G$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the cyclic JSJ decomposition of  $G$  relative to  $A$ .

**Definition 2.1:** *An elementary automorphism associated to  $\Lambda$  is either a Dehn twist corresponding to an edge of  $\Lambda$  or a surface type automorphism associated to a surface vertex of  $\Lambda$ .*

Recall that the modular group  $\text{Mod}_A(G)$  is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_A(G)$  generated by all Dehn twists fixing  $H$  associated to splittings of  $G$  as  $G = U *_Z$  or  $G = U *_Z V$  with  $Z$  infinite cyclic and  $A \leq U$ . Because the JSJ encodes all such splittings, we can in fact show that  $\text{Mod}_A(G)$  is generated by elementary automorphisms of  $\Lambda$ , and more precisely

**Proposition 2.2:** *Any element of  $\text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$  can be written as a product  $\text{Conj}(\gamma) \circ \tau_1 \circ \dots \circ \tau_m$  where each  $\tau_i$  is an elementary automorphism of  $\Lambda$  on a different support, and the order of supports can be permuted.*

The essential property of minimal subgraphs we will use:

**Lemma 2.3:** *Let  $g \in \mathbb{F}$ , and let  $\tau \in \text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$  be a Dehn twist associated to an edge of  $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}^{\min}$  or a surface type automorphism associated to a surface type vertex of  $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}^{\min}$ . Then  $\tau(g) = g$ .*

## 3 Proving independence

Suppose the conditions hold. We want to compare how the definable structure over  $A$  and that over  $Ac$  capture  $b$ . Note that  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$  and  $\text{Aut}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$  are definable, so they are the smallest definable sets of each structure which contain  $b$  - we want to compare them.

We will show that under the assumption of the theorem, they are almost the same!

**Lemma 3.1:** *If the minimal subgraphs satisfy the conditions in the Theorem, we have  $\text{Mod}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b = \text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$ , in particular  $\text{Mod}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$  is invariant under  $\text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$ .*

*Proof.* The modular group  $\text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$  is generated by Dehn twists and surface type automorphisms. If the minimal subgraphs do not intersect in an edge or a surface group, any image of  $b$  obtained by applying an element of  $\text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$  can be obtained by an element which fixes  $c$  (the Dehn twists or surface type automorphisms which do not fix  $c$  do nothing to  $b$ ).  $\square$

We deduce

**Proposition 3.2:** *There exists sets  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  such that any translate of the set  $\text{Aut}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$  by an element of  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$  contains one of the  $Z_j$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $Z_1 = \text{Mod}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$ . Since  $\text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$  has finite index in  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$  and  $Z_1$  is preserved by  $\text{Mod}_A(\mathbb{F})$ , this implies that  $Z_1$  has finitely many translates  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  under  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$ . Since  $\text{Aut}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F}) \cdot b$  contains  $Z_1$ , each of its translates by an element of  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$  must contain one of the  $Z_j$ .  $\square$

This implies that for any set  $X$  definable over  $Ac$  containing  $b$ , for any sequence  $\theta_n \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$ , an infinite number of translates  $\theta_n(X)$  contain the same  $Z_j$ , hence the  $\theta_n(X)$  are not  $k$ -wise disjoint for any  $k$ .

However we need to prove that this holds for any sequence of automorphisms  $\theta_n$  of any extension  $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , which is stronger! The trick is to write this as a sentence true on  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Remark 3.3:** *We have in fact that for any set  $X$  definable over  $Ac$  containing  $b$ , any  $k$ , any  $(k-1)m+1$  translates of  $X$  by elements of  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$  are not  $k$ -wise disjoint.*

We can express this as a first-order sentence as follows: let  $\psi_c(y, A)$  be a formula defining the orbit of  $c$  under  $\text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$ , and let  $\phi(x, c, A)$  be the formula defining  $X$ . If  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathbb{F})$  then  $\theta(X)$  is defined by  $\phi(x, \theta(c), A)$ .

We have

$$\mathbb{F} \models \forall y_1, \dots, y_{(k-1)m+1} \left[ \bigwedge_{i=1}^{(k-1)m+1} \psi_c(y_i, A) \rightarrow \bigvee_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq (k-1)m+1} \exists x \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \phi(x, y_{j_i}, A) \right]$$

thus this holds in any elementary extension  $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , which proves the result.

## 4 Proving forking

Suppose the minimal subgraphs  $\Lambda_{Ab}^{min}$  and  $\Lambda_{Ac}^{min}$  intersect in an edge or in a surface type vertex group, and denote by  $\tau$  an elementary automorphism supported by this edge or vertex. The idea is to show that there is an element in  $\text{acl}^e q(A, b)$  whose orbit under  $\text{Mod}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F})$  has infinitely many disjoint translates under  $\tau^l$ .

In the  $Y$  example, we have in fact that  $\text{acl}(Ab)$  and  $\text{acl}(Ac)$  contain the central vertex group, which is not in  $\text{acl}(A)$  - this is enough to prove that  $b$  and  $c$  fork.

More generally, if the minimal subgraphs  $\Lambda_{Ab}^{min}$  and  $\Lambda_{Ac}^{min}$  both contain an edge with end vertex groups  $U, V$  which are not cyclic, then without loss of generality the tuple  $(u, v)$  (where  $U = \langle u \rangle$  and  $V = \langle v \rangle$ ) lies in  $\text{acl}^{eq}(Ab)$ . We then show that the orbit of  $(u, v)$  under  $\text{Mod}_{Ac}(\mathbb{F})$  lies in a single conjugacy class. But if we apply powers of the Dehn twist  $\tau$  supported by the edge  $e$ , we can show that we get tuples which lie in infinitely many conjugacy classes. This implies that  $(u, v)$  forks with  $c$  over  $A$ , hence so does  $b$ .

Finally, if the minimal subgraphs  $\Lambda_{Ab}^{min}$  and  $\Lambda_{Ac}^{min}$  both contain a surface vertex, there are elements  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  of the surface group which correspond to simple closed curves on the surface and lie in  $\text{acl}(Ab)$  and  $\text{acl}(Ac)$  respectively. It is then possible to show (using pseudo-Anosov automorphisms...) that  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  fork over  $A$ , which implies the result.